Some answers to vacation questions.

If a question is pure bookwork then I have left you to look up the proof in notes or a book. Except for question 10 (which had a misprint I thought I should clear up) I haven't given answers to the optional questions; ask me if you want any help with them.

True or false: (give brief proofs or counterexamples as appropriate)

 (i) A convergent sequence is bounded.

True. Let (a_n) be a convergent sequence. Take $\epsilon = 1$ in the definition of convergence to obtain

$$\exists a \in \mathbb{R} \; \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \; \forall n \ge N \; |a_n - a| < 1.$$

From this it follows that $|a_n| \leq |a| + 1$ if $n \geq N$. To get an overall upper bound just take $A = \max(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{N-1}, |a| + 1)$.

(ii) A bounded sequence is convergent.

False. For example $a_n = (-1)^n$.

(iii) If the sequence (a_n) does not tend to infinity then there is a constant K such that $|a_n| < K$ for all $n \ge 1$.

False. Remember we say that the sequence (a_n) tends to infinity if given any $K \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n| > K$ for all $n \ge N$. By this definition the sequence

$$a_n = \begin{cases} 0 & n \text{ is even} \\ n & n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

does not tend to infinity. But $|a_{2m}| = 2m$ so the sequence is not bounded.

(iv) If X and Y are non-empty sets of real numbers and x < y for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ then $\sup X$ and $\inf Y$ exist and $\sup X \leq \inf Y$.

True. Take any $y \in Y$. By hypothesis $x \leq y$ for all $x \in X$ so X is bounded above. By the completeness property of \mathbb{R} , X has a supremum, sup X. A similar argument shows that Y has an infimum. Now sup X < y for all $y \in Y$ so sup $X < \inf Y$. Here we used the (often helpful) result that $A \leq y$ for all $y \in Y$ implies $A \leq \inf Y$.

Further exercise: Can the conclusion be strengthened to $\sup X < \inf Y$?

(v) A subsequence of a convergent sequence is convergent, and has the same limit as the original sequence.

True. Bookwork.

(vi) If $a_n > 0$ for all n and $a_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ then $1/a_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$.

True. We want to show that for all K > 0 there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|1/a_n| > K$ for all $n \in N$. All we have to play with is the hypothesis that $a_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, i.e.

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \; \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \; \forall n \ge N \; |a_n| < \epsilon.$$

So if we put $\epsilon = 1/K$ we will obtain a suitable N.

2. (i) Let (a_n) be a bounded monotone sequence of real numbers. Prove that (a_n) is convergent.

Bookwork. By replacing a_n with $-a_n$ if necessary we may assume that (a_n) is increasing. Now show that a_n converges to $\sup \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ by using the approximation property.

(ii) Let b > 1 be a fixed real number. We define a sequence (a_n) inductively by taking

$$a_0 = b, \ a_{n+1} = \frac{a_n}{2} + \frac{b}{2a_n} \quad for \ n \ge 0.$$

Prove that (a_n) converges. Show that if $\beta = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n$ then $\beta > 0$ and $\beta^2 = b$.

Straightforward inductive arguments show that (a) a_n is positive, (b) $a_n^2 \ge b$ and (c) $a_{n+1} \le a_n$ for all n. So the sequence (a_n) is decreasing and bounded below. By part (a) it must converge to some $\beta > 0$. As $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} a_{n+1}$, the limit β must satisfy $\beta = \beta/2 + b/2\beta$. It follows that $\beta^2 = b$.

(iii) (*) Show that if $a_n \ge 1$ and $|a_n - \beta| < \epsilon$ then $|a_{n+1} - \beta| < \epsilon^2/2$. Deduce that if for our initial guess a_0 we pick the natural number whose square is nearest to b then $|a_n - \beta| < 1/2^{2^n-1}$. Can $a_n = \beta$ for any n?

The first part is just a calculation:

$$|a_{n+1} - \beta| = \left| \frac{a_n}{2} + \frac{b}{2a_n} - \beta \right|$$
$$= (a_n - \beta)^2 / 2a_n$$
$$< \epsilon^2 / 2.$$

Now $|a_0 - \beta| < 1$, as otherwise there would be a natural number nearer β than a_0 , and its square would be nearer b than a_0^2 . The error estimate given in the question now follows by induction on n.

3. (i) State the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem concerning sequences of real numbers.

The Bolzano-Weierstass Theorem states that any bounded sequence of real numbers has a convergent subsequence. (There is an analogous version for sequences of complex numbers.)

(ii) What does it mean to say that a sequence is a Cauchy sequence? Prove that a sequence of real numbers is a Cauchy sequence if and only if it converges.

Bookwork.

(iii) Deduce that if the series $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a_r$ converges absolutely then it converges. Give an example to show that the converse of this result is false.

The first part is bookwork. The series $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a_r$ where $a_r = (-1)^r / \sqrt{r}$ is convergent (by the alternating series test), but not absolutely convergent.

4. [BASED ON Q1 1999 MODS ANALYSIS.] Let (a_n) be a sequence of real numbers. What is meant by the statement that (a_n) is convergent?

Let (a_n) and (b_n) be sequences converging to the limits l and m respectively. Show that:

- (i) The sequence $(a_n + b_n)$ converges to l + m.
- (ii) The sequence (a_nb_n) converges to lm.
- (iii) If $a_n \leq b_n$ for all n then $l \leq m$.
- Yet more bookwork.

Give an example to show that if $a_n < b_n$ for all n then it is not neccesarily true that l < m.

One could take $a_n = 0$ for all n and $b_n = 1/n$. The moral of this example is that limits don't in general preserve 'sharp' inequalities, i.e. inequalities involving < or > signs.

Now suppose that l = 0. Define a new sequence (c_n) by $c_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=1}^n a_r$. Show that (c_n) also converges to 0.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Let $|a_r| < \epsilon$ for all $r \ge N$. If $n \ge N$ then

$$\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{r=1}^{n}a_{r}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n}\sum_{r=1}^{n}|a_{r}| = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{r=1}^{N}|a_{r}| + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{r=N+1}^{n}|a_{r}| \leq \frac{1}{n}\sum_{r=1}^{N}|a_{r}| + \frac{n-N}{n}\epsilon.$$

As n tends to ∞ the first summand tends to 0, and the second is bounded by ϵ . So $\lim_{n\to\infty} c_n = 0$.

5. [BASED ON Q4 2001 MODS ANALYSIS] State the comparison test and derive the integral test for a series of real numbers $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a_r$.

It's important to include all the neccessary conditions in the integral test: let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a *decreasing* function that takes values in the *positive* real numbers. The integral test states that the series with *n*th term f(n) converges if and only if the integral

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} f(x) \mathrm{d}x$$

converges.

Prove that the series $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^{-\alpha}$ converges if $\alpha > 1$ and diverges if $\alpha \leq 1$. Determine whether the following series converge or diverge:

$$\sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r \log r}, \quad \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r} \sin \frac{1}{r}.$$

The first part follows from an application of the integral test with the function $f(x) = x^{-\alpha}$. For the next part apply the integral test with the function $f(x) = 1/x \log x$, which has integral

$$\int_{2}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x \log x} = \log \log t - \log \log 2.$$

For the last one use the inequality $\sin x \leq x$, which is valid for all $x \geq 0$ to get

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{1}{r} \sin \frac{1}{r} \le \sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{1}{r^2}.$$

The comparison test now shows that the series converges.

9. (\star) Let $\alpha > 0$ be an irrational number. Show that given N > 0 there exist natural numbers m and n such that $n \leq N$ and

$$\left|\alpha - \frac{m}{n}\right| < \frac{1}{nN}$$

[Hint: let $\{x\}$ denote the fractional part of $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Apply the pigeonhole principle to the N + 1 numbers $0, \{\alpha\}, \{2\alpha\}, \ldots, \{N\alpha\}$.] Deduce that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exist points $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ lying within a distance ϵ of the line $y = \alpha x$.

The numbers $0, \{\alpha\}, \{2\alpha\}, \ldots, \{N\alpha\}$ all lie between 0 and 1. So one of the disjoint intervals $(0, 1/N), (1/N, 2/N), \ldots, ((N-1)/N, 1)$ must contain 2 of them. (We don't need to worry about endpoints as we supposed that α was irrational.) If both $\{r\alpha\}$ and $\{s\alpha\}$ appear in one such interval then there is an integer m such that

$$|r\alpha - s\alpha - m| < \frac{1}{N}.$$

Put r - s = n and divide through to obtain

$$\left|\alpha - \frac{m}{n}\right| < \frac{1}{Nn}.$$

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $N > 1/\epsilon$. We have shown that there exist $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\alpha - m/n| < \epsilon/n$. Multiplying by n gives $|n\alpha - m| < \epsilon$, so the point (m, n) lies within ϵ of the line $y = m\alpha$.