MODULAR ISOMORPHISMS OF $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-PLETHYSMS FOR WEYL MODULES LABELLED BY HOOK PARTITIONS

ÁLVARO GUTIÉRREZ, ÁLVARO L. MARTÍNEZ,
MICHAŁ SZWEJ, AND MARK WILDON

Abstract. Let Δ^λ be the Weyl functor for the partition λ and let E be the natural 2-dimensional representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$, where $\mathbb{F}$ is an arbitrary field. We give an explicit isomorphism showing that any $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-plethysm $\Delta^{(M,1^N)}\operatorname{Sym}^d E$ factors as a tensor product of two simpler $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-plethysms, each defined using only symmetric powers. This result categorifies Stanley's Hook Content Formula for hook-shaped partitions and proves a conjecture of Martínez–Wildon (2024). In a similar spirit we categorify the classical binomial identity $\binom{a}{b}\binom{b}{c} = \binom{a}{c}\binom{a-c}{b-c}$, obtaining a new family of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-isomorphisms between tensor products of plethysms. Our methods are characteristic independent and provide a framework that is broadly applicable to the study of isomorphisms between plethystic representations of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an arbitrary field and let E be the natural representation of the special linear group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$. We define an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-*plethysm* to be a representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ of the form $\Delta^\lambda \operatorname{Sym}^d E$, where Δ^λ is the Weyl functor for the partition λ. Numerous isomorphism between $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-plethysms are known: see [13] for a comprehensive account. Much less is known about *characteristic-free* isomorphisms, holding over the arbitrary field $\mathbb{F}$. In [12], McDowell and the fourth author generalise the classical Wronskian isomorphism to an explicit characterstic-free isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Sym}_N \operatorname{Sym}^d E \cong \bigwedge^N \operatorname{Sym}^{d+N-1} E. \tag{1.1}$$

In [8], the second and fourth authors construct an explicit characteristic-free isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Sym}^{N-1} E \otimes \bigwedge^{N+1} \operatorname{Sym}^{d+1} E \cong \Delta^{(2,1^{N-1})} \operatorname{Sym}^d E\,.$$

Conjecture 3.3 in [8] proposes a more general isomorphism in which $(2,1^{N-1})$ is replaced with an arbitrary hook partition. This is proved by our first main theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Hook plethysms)**.** *Let M, $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $N \leqslant d+1$. There is an isomorphism of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-representations*

$$\operatorname{Sym}_M \operatorname{Sym}^{N-1} E \otimes \operatorname{Sym}_{M+N} \operatorname{Sym}^{d-N+1} E \cong \Delta^{(M+1,1^{N-1})} \operatorname{Sym}^d E.$$

This result is notable as the first in the literature giving a tensor factorization of an arbitrary $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-plethysm for an arbitrary hook partition. We define the Weyl functor $\Delta^{(M+1,1^{N-1})}$ in §2.3 below. Lower symmetric and exterior powers are defined in §2.2 and upper symmetric powers in §2.6.

Our proof gives an explicit isomorphism defined over the integers and so over any field. In Corollary 5.1 we show that the isomorphism in Theorem 1.1 lifts to an isomorphism of representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ if we take the tensor product of the left-hand side with the $\binom{N}{2}$-th power of the determinant representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$. (A similar 'lift' is possible for

ÁG was funded by a University of Bristol Research Training Support Grant. MS and MW thank the Heilbronn Institute for Mathematical Research for financial support.

all the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-isomorphisms in this paper: see Lemma 2.6.) Taking $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and equating the characters on either side using (2.8) and its special case (2.10) we obtain

$$q^{\binom{N}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} M+N-1 \\ M \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} M+d+1 \\ M+N \end{bmatrix}_q = s_{(M+1,1^{N-1})}(1, q, \ldots, q^d)$$

where s_λ is the Schur function for the partition λ. While this identity can be deduced from Stanley's Hook Content Formula for the partition $(M+1, 1^{N-1})$, Theorem 1.1 gives an independent proof.

Our second main theorem is motivated by the basic identity

$$\binom{M+N}{M}\binom{M+N+d}{M+N} = \binom{N+d}{N}\binom{M+N+d}{M}. \tag{1.2}$$

Because each side equals $(M+N+d)!/M!N!d!$, this identity is sometimes referred to as *trinomial revision* [4, page 174]. It is the decategorification, by taking dimensions, of our second main theorem.

Theorem 1.2 (Trinomial plethysms)**.** *Let M, N, $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$. There is an isomorphism of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-representations*

$$\mathrm{Sym}_M \mathrm{Sym}^N E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_{M+N} \mathrm{Sym}^d E \cong \mathrm{Sym}_N \mathrm{Sym}^d E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_M \mathrm{Sym}^{d+N} E.$$

While the isomorphism in Theorem 1.2 can be proved to exist when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ by character calculations, as Example 2.5 shows, upper and lower symmetric powers typically define non-isomorphic modules over fields of prime characteristic. It is therefore remarkable that, when the symmetric powers are chosen correctly, there is an isomorphism holding over any field. Again, our proof gives it explicitly. To illustrate the power of Theorem 1.2 we state the following three special cases.

Corollary 1.3. *Let K, $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$. There exist isomorphisms of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-representations:*

(i) $\mathrm{Sym}^{d+K} E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_K \mathrm{Sym}^d E \cong \mathrm{Sym}^K E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_{K+1} \mathrm{Sym}^d E$;

(ii) $\mathrm{Sym}_K E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_{K+1} \mathrm{Sym}^d E \cong \mathrm{Sym}^d E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_K \mathrm{Sym}^{d+1} E$;

(iii) $\mathrm{Sym}_{d+K} E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_K \mathrm{Sym}^d E \cong \mathrm{Sym}_d E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_K \mathrm{Sym}^{d+1} E$.

These isomorphisms are of independent interest. They decategorify to the *team-and-leader* identities in (7.1). As an extension, we introduce *election processes* on team hierarchies with k layers, which are the possible expressions arising from $\binom{N_k}{N_{k-1}} \ldots \binom{N_3}{N_2}\binom{N_2}{N_1}$ upon successive applications of the trinomial revision identity (1.2). We finish by showing that the number of election processes on team hierarchies with k layers is given by the Catalan number C_{k-1}. Lifting the obtained expressions with Theorem 1.2 gives in turn C_{k-1} pairwise isomorphic $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-plethysms. Yet again, these isomorphisms are far from obvious.

We prove our $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-isomorphisms by constructing an explicit model for the plethysms $\Delta^\lambda \mathrm{Sym}^d E$ as subrepresentations of suitable polynomial algebras; these include the algebra of symmetric functions defined over $\mathbb{F}$: see (3.1). An important motivation for this model was Grinberg's proof [6] of the Wronskian isomorphism (1.1), in which the map from the left-hand side to the right-hand side is described as multiplication by a Vandermonde determinant. We believe our model will be of general use when investigating plethystic isomorphisms. Thus, while Theorem 1.1 proves a conjecture from [8], the methods used in this paper are entirely novel, and of significant independent interest in their own right.

We conclude by mentioning one natural question raised by Theorem 1.1: *what other instances of Stanley's Hook Content Formula have characteristic-free modular lifts*? We hope to address this in a sequel to this paper.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Basic definitions.** A *partition* $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots)$ is a weakly decreasing finite sequence of non-negative integers, ending with infinitely many zeros. If ℓ is maximal such that $\lambda_\ell \neq 0$ then we say that λ has *length* ℓ and write λ as $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\ell)$. The *Young diagram* of λ is the set $[\lambda] = \{(i,j) : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant \lambda_i\}$ of *boxes*. A *tableau* is a filling of the boxes of $[\lambda]$ with entries from $\mathbb{N}_0$; a tableau is *semistandard* if its rows are weakly increasing and its columns are strictly increasing. For examples see §2.3 below.

2.2. **Exterior powers and lower symmetric powers.** Since we later apply these functors to the upper symmetric powers $\mathrm{Sym}^d E$ of the natural 2-dimensional representation E of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$, as defined in §2.6 below, it is most convenient to take a vector space of dimension $d+1$. Let V be an $\mathbb{F}$-vector space with basis $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$. Let $R \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and let $c_1, \ldots, c_R \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$. The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_R$ acts on elements in the canonical basis of $V^{\otimes R}$ by position permutation:

$$\sigma \cdot (v_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{c_R}) = v_{c_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{c_{\sigma^{-1}(R)}}.$$

(Note the inverse is correct: v_{c_i} is found in position $\sigma(i)$ on the right-hand side.) This action extends linearly to an action of $\mathfrak{S}_R$ on $V^{\otimes R}$. We define the lower symmetric and exterior powers by

$$\mathrm{Sym}_R V = \{w \in V^{\otimes R} : \sigma \cdot w = w \text{ for all } \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_R\}$$
$$\textstyle\bigwedge^R V = \{w \in V^{\otimes R} : \sigma \cdot w = \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) w \text{ for all } \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_R\}.$$

Note that $\mathfrak{S}_0$ is trivial and so $V^{\otimes 0} \cong \mathrm{Sym}_0(V) \cong \bigwedge^0 V \cong \mathbb{F}$.

Define $v_{c_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{c_R} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_R} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) \sigma \cdot (v_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{c_R}) \in \bigwedge^R V$. It is clear that $\bigwedge^R V$ is spanned by these antisymmetric elements, and moreover we obtain a basis if we require $c_1 < \ldots < c_R$.

Analogously, if $H = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_R : \sigma \cdot (v_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{c_R}) = v_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{c_R}\}$ then we define

$$v_{(c_1,\ldots,c_R)} = \sum_\sigma \sigma \cdot (v_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{c_R}) \in \mathrm{Sym}_R V \tag{2.1}$$

where the sum is over a set of coset representatives for the cosets $\mathfrak{S}_R/H$. It is clear that $\mathrm{Sym}_R V$ is spanned by these symmetric elements, and that $v_{(c_1,\ldots,c_R)} = v_{(c'_1,\ldots,c'_R)}$ if $(c_1, \ldots, c_R)$ and $(c'_1, \ldots, c'_R)$ are equal up to the order of the entries. We therefore obtain a basis by taking $c_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant c_R$. Note that it is essential to take coset representatives: for instance the symmetrization of $v_1 \otimes v_1 \otimes v_2$ by all 6 permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_3$ is zero if $\mathbb{F}$ has characteristic 2.

2.3. **Weyl functors for hook partitions.** We now present a simple explicit construction of the Weyl functors $\Delta^{(M+1,1^{N-1})}$ labelled by hook partitions. Given a tableau having entries $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_M$ in its top row and $a_0, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{N-1}$ in its first column, define $F_\Delta(t) \in \bigwedge^N V \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_M V$ by

$$F_\Delta(t) = \sum (v_{c_0} \wedge v_{b_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{b_{N-1}}) \otimes v_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{c_M} \tag{2.2}$$

where the sum is over all distinct tuples $(c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_M)$ obtained by permuting the tuple $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_M)$. For example, if $M = 3$, and $N = 3$, and t is the tableau

0	2	2	5
2			
4			

then $(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3) = (0, 2, 2, 5)$ and so there are 12 summands in the sum defining $F_\Delta(t)$, but all those for which $c_0 = 2$ cancel, because $v_2 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_4 = 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} F_\Delta(t) = (v_0 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_4) \otimes (v_2 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_5 + v_2 \otimes v_5 \otimes v_2 + v_5 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_2) \\ + (v_5 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_4) \otimes (v_0 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_2 + v_2 \otimes v_0 \otimes v_2 + v_0 \otimes v_0 \otimes v_2). \end{aligned}$$

Expressed in the canonical basis of $\bigwedge^3 V \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_3 V$ this is $(v_0 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_4) \otimes v_{(2,2,5)} + (v_2 \wedge v_4 \wedge v_5) \otimes v_{(0,2,2)}$.

Semistandard basis. Let $\mathrm{SSYT}_{\leqslant d}(M+1, 1^{N-1})$ denote the set of semistandard tableaux of shape $(M+1, 1^{N-1})$ whose entries lie in $\{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$. By either [1, Theorem II.3.16], [10, Theorem 6.1] or [11, Proposition 3.13], the Weyl module $\Delta^{(M+1,1^{N-1})}(V)$ is the subrepresentation of $\bigwedge^N V \otimes V^{\otimes M}$ with basis all $F_\Delta(t)$ for $t \in \mathrm{SSYT}_{\leqslant d}(M+1, 1^{N-1})$.

Example 2.1 (Lower symmetric and exterior powers)**.** *It follows immediately from the definitions in §2.2 and* (2.2) *that* $\Delta^{(1^R)}(V) = \bigwedge^R V$ *and* $\Delta^{(R)}(V) = \mathrm{Sym}_R V$.

Example 2.2. *If* $M = 1, N = 2$, *and* $d = 2$, *then* $\Delta^{(2,1)}(V)$ *has as a basis all* $F_\Delta(t)$ *for* t *one of the eight semistandard tableaux*

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 0&0\\\hline 1\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 0&1\\\hline 1\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 0&0\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 0&1\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 0&2\\\hline 1\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 0&2\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&1\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 1&2\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}.$$

When $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, *the restriction of* $\Delta^{(2,1)}(V)$ *to the special unitary group* $\mathrm{SU}_3(\mathbb{C})$ *is the famous eight-fold way representation (see* [3, page 179]*). If instead* $\mathbb{F}$ *has characteristic* 3 *then*

$$\begin{aligned} F_\Delta\Big(\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 0&2\\\hline 1\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}\Big) - F_\Delta\Big(\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline 0&1\\\hline 2\\\cline{1-1}\end{array}\Big) &= (v_0 \wedge v_1) \otimes v_2 + (v_1 \wedge v_2) \otimes v_0 + (v_2 \wedge v_0) \otimes v_1 \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_3} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) \sigma \cdot (v_0 \otimes v_1 \otimes v_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since the span of this vector affords the 1*-dimensional determinant representation of* $\mathrm{GL}(V)$, *in this case,* $\Delta^{(2,1)}(V)$ *is reducible.*

Character. Suppose that the tableau t has exactly $a_i(t)$ entries equal to i for each $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$. Then from (2.2) the action of the diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_d)$ is given by

$$\mathrm{diag}(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_d) F_\Delta(t) = \gamma_0^{a_0(t)} \gamma_1^{a_1(t)} \ldots \gamma_d^{a_d(t)} F_\Delta(t).$$

Thus each $F_\Delta(t)$ is a simultaneous eigenvector for the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ of diagonal matrices (in our chosen basis). The formal character of $\Delta^{(M+1,1^{N-1})}(V)$ is therefore the Schur polynomial $s_{(M+1,1^{N-1})}$ in the variables $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_d$:

$$s_{(M+1,1^{N-1})}(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_d) = \sum_{t \in \mathrm{SSYT}_{\leqslant d}(M+1,1^{N-1})} x_0^{a_0(t)} x_1^{a_1(t)} \ldots x_d^{a_d(t)}. \tag{2.3}$$

Later, in §3.1, we present an algebraic definition of the Schur polynomial s_λ for a general partition λ. For the equivalence of the two definitions (in the case $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$) see [15, Theorem 7.15.1].

Dimension. The following proposition on the dimension of $\Delta^{(M+1,1^{N-1})}(V)$ can also be proved by setting $q = 1$ in Stanley's Hook Content Formula [15, Corollary 7.21.4], or, with more work, from Weyl's Dimension Formula. We give a proof to make this article self-contained. Recall that $\dim V = d + 1$.

Proposition 2.3. *We have* $\dim \Delta^{(M+1,1^{N-1})}(V) = \binom{d+M+1}{M+N}\binom{M+N-1}{M}$.

Proof. We have seen that the dimension is the number of semistandard tableaux of shape $(M+1, 1^{N-1})$ having entries from $\{0, 1 \ldots, d\}$. Keeping the existing notation, suppose that t has $a_0 \leqslant a_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant a_M$ in its first row and $b_0 < b_1 < \ldots < b_{N-1}$ in its first column. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, N-1\}$ let $s_i = |\{j \in \{1, \ldots, M\} : a_j < b_i\}|$. Note that $s_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant s_{N-1} \leqslant M$. We now show that

$$S = \{b_1 + s_1, \ldots, b_{N-1} + s_{N-1}\} \cup \{a_0, a_1 + 1, \ldots, a_M + M\}$$

has $M + N$ distinct elements. In the two cases below, $\{a_1, \ldots a_j\}$ should be read as a multiset:

- if $a_j < b_i$ then $s_i \geqslant |\{a_1, \ldots, a_j\}| = j$, and so $j \leqslant s_i$ and $a_j + j < b_i + s_i$;
- if $a_j \geqslant b_i$ then $s_i < |\{a_1, \ldots, a_j\}| = j$, and so $j > s_i$ and $a_j + j > b_i + s_i$.

The maximum value in S is either $b_{N-1}+s_{N-1} \leqslant d+M$, or $a_M+M \leqslant d+M$. It follows that S is an $(M+N)$-subset of $\{0, 1, \ldots, d+M\}$. It can be chosen in $\binom{M+d+1}{M+N}$ ways. Moreover, the tableau t is uniquely determined by S and its M-subset $\{a_1 + 1, \ldots, a_M + M\}$. Since this M-subset can be freely chosen from $S \backslash \{\min S\}$ and $|S \backslash \{\min S\}| = M + N - 1$, it follows that there are precisely $\binom{d+M+1}{M+N}\binom{M+N-1}{M}$ semistandard tableaux. □

2.4. **The multiplication map: hook Weyl modules as images.** Each $F_\Delta(t)$ is defined in (2.2) by symmetrization over the entire top row in the tableau t. Hence each $F_\Delta(t)$ is symmetric with respect to the final M tensor positions in $V^{\otimes(M+N)}$. Hence

$$F_\Delta(t) \in \textstyle\bigwedge^N V \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_M V \tag{2.4}$$

where the right-hand side is a subspace of $\bigwedge^N V \otimes V^{\otimes M}$. Recall that V has the chosen basis $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$. For $M \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$\mu_M : \textstyle\bigwedge^N V \otimes V^{\otimes M} \to \bigwedge^{N+1} V \otimes V^{\otimes M-1} \tag{2.5}$$

be the map defined by linear extension of

$$v_{c_0} \wedge v_{b_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{b_{N-1}} \otimes v_{c_1} \otimes v_{c_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{c_M} \\ \xmapsto{\mu_M} v_{c_0} \wedge v_{b_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{b_{N-1}} \wedge v_{c_1} \otimes v_{c_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{c_M}$$

(with μ_0 being the zero map) and let δ_M denote the restriction of μ_M to $\bigwedge^N V \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_M V$. Since the final $M-1$ tensor factors $v_{c_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{c_M}$ are the same on each side of the defining equation above, it is clear that δ_M has image contained in $\bigwedge^{N+1} V \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_{M-1} V$. Thus the image of δ_M is symmetric under position permutation in the $M-1$ positions $N+1, \ldots, N+M$. But it is clear from the definition of μ_M that the image of δ_M is also symmetric under swapping positions 1 and $N+1$. (These positions have v_{c_0} and v_{c_1} in the right-hand side above.) Therefore, using the canonical basis element $v_{(a_1,\ldots,a_M)}$ defined in (2.1), we have

$$\delta_M(v_{b_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{b_N} \otimes v_{(a_1,\ldots,a_M)}) = F_\Delta(\tilde{t})$$

where $\tilde{t}$ is the tableau of shape $(M, 1^N)$ having first row $a_1, \ldots, a_M$ read left to right and first column $a_1, b_1, \ldots, b_N$ read top to bottom. We conclude that $\operatorname{im} \delta_M = \Delta^{(M,1^N)}(V)$.

2.5. **Hook Weyl modules as kernels.** The following lemma is known to experts: for instance, it follows from (2.1) of [9], where the authors use divided symmetric powers to show that the maps δ_M define a chain complex dual to a suitable partially symmetrized Koszul complex. We give an elementary self-contained proof, including full details in a routine calculation to save the reader some effort.

Lemma 2.4. *We have* $\ker \delta_M = \Delta^{(M+1,1^{N-1})}(V)$ *for each* $M \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. Note that, by its definition by symmetrization over the top row of a tableau, $\Delta^{(M+1,1^{N-1})}(V)$ is a subspace of the span of tensors of the two forms

$$v_e \wedge v_{b_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{b_{N-1}} \otimes v_{e'} \otimes v_{c_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{c_M} + v_{e'} \wedge v_{b_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{b_{N-1}} \otimes v_e \otimes v_{c_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{c_M}$$

for $e \neq e'$ and $v_e \wedge v_{b_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{b_{N-1}} \otimes v_e \otimes v_{c_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{c_M}$. Both of these vectors are in the kernel of δ_M. Therefore we have

$$\ker \delta_M \supseteq \Delta^{(M+1,1^{N-1})}(V). \tag{2.6}$$

We have already observed that $\operatorname{im} \delta_M = \Delta^{(M,1^N)}(V)$. By Proposition 2.3 and an instance of (1.2) to get the third equality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim \Delta^{(M+1,1^{N-1})}(V) + \dim \Delta^{(M,1^N)}(V) \\
&\quad = \binom{d+M+1}{M+N}\binom{M+N-1}{M} + \binom{d+M}{M+N}\binom{M+N-1}{M-1} \\
&\quad = \frac{d+M+1}{M+N}\binom{d+M}{M+N-1}\binom{M+N-1}{M} + \frac{M}{M+N}\binom{d+M}{M+N}\binom{M+N}{M} \\
&\quad = \frac{d+M+1}{M+N}\binom{d+M}{M}\binom{d}{N-1} + \frac{M}{M+N}\binom{d+M}{M}\binom{d}{N} \\
&\quad = \frac{(d+M+1)N + M(d-N+1)}{(M+N)(d+1)}\binom{d+M}{M}\binom{d+1}{N} \\
&\quad = \binom{d+M}{M}\binom{d+1}{N} \\
&\quad = \dim\bigl(\textstyle\bigwedge^N V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}_M V\bigr)
\end{aligned}$$

where the final equality holds because by (2.1), $\operatorname{Sym}_M V$ has a basis indexed by the M-multisets of $\{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$, of which there are $\binom{d+M}{M}$; see (2.10). By rank-nullity

$$\dim \ker \delta_M + \dim \operatorname{im} \delta_M = \dim\bigl(\textstyle\bigwedge^N V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}_M V\bigr).$$

Since $\operatorname{im} \delta_M = \Delta^{(M,1^N)}(V)$ it follows that $\dim \ker \delta_M = \dim \Delta^{(M+1,1^{N-1})}(V)$ and so equality holds in (2.6). □

2.6. **Upper symmetric powers.** Fixing a basis X, Y of E we identify $\operatorname{Sym}^d E = \langle X^d, X^{d-1}Y, \ldots, Y^d \rangle$ with degree d homogeneous polynomials in the variables X and Y. The action of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ and $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ is given explicitly by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot P(X, Y) = P(aX + cY, bX + dY). \tag{2.7}$$

Thus the matrix above acts as the unique algebra automorphism satisfying $X \mapsto aX + cY$ and $Y \mapsto bX + dY$.

Example 2.5. *We pause to give an example illustrating that the existence of modular isomorphisms, such as those in our two main theorems, is a subtle question over fields of prime characteristic. The actions of* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ *on* $\operatorname{Sym}_2 E$ *and* $\operatorname{Sym}^2 E$ *are given by the explicit homomorphisms below.*

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{array}{c} \begin{matrix} X\otimes X & Y\otimes Y & X\otimes Y + Y\otimes X \end{matrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & b^2 & ab \\ c^2 & d^2 & cd \\ 2ac & 2bd & ad+bc \end{pmatrix} \end{array}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{array}{c} \begin{matrix} X^2 & Y^2 & XY \end{matrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & b^2 & 2ab \\ c^2 & d^2 & 2cd \\ ac & bd & ad+bc \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

If $\mathbb{F}$ *has characteristic* 2 *then* $\langle X^2, Y^2 \rangle \subseteq \operatorname{Sym}^2 E$ *is the unique non-trivial proper submodule of* $\operatorname{Sym}^2 E$*; the quotient by this submodule is isomorphic to the determinant representation. Dually,* $\operatorname{Sym}_2 E$ *has the same composition factors, but in the opposite order.*

Since each module is indecomposable, they are non-isomorphic. We leave it to the reader to verify that, as representations of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$*, we have* $(\mathrm{Sym}_2 E)^\star \cong \mathrm{Sym}^2 E$ *(or see* [12, §2.2]*).*

2.7. $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$**-plethysms.** In the previous subsection we saw that $\mathrm{Sym}^d E$ has ordered basis X^d, $X^{d-1}Y, \dots, Y^d$. Represented in this basis, the diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}(1, q) \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ acts on $\mathrm{Sym}^d E$ as the diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}(1, q, \dots, q^d)$. Setting $x_i = q^i$ in (2.3) we obtain the $GL_2(\mathbb{F})$ character of $\Delta^{(M+1,1^{N-1})} \mathrm{Sym}^d E$:

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\Delta^{(M+1,1^{N-1})} \mathrm{Sym}^d E} \mathrm{diag}(1, q) = s_{(M+1,1^{N-1})}(1, q, \dots, q^d). \tag{2.8}$$

Since $s_{(d)}(1, q) = 1 + q + \cdots + q^d$, the right-hand side is the plethysm product of Schur functions $s_{(M+1,1^{N-1})} \circ s_{(d)}$, evaluated at 1 and q. Similar reasoning follows for the action of the diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}(q^{-1}, q) \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$, which justifies our term '$\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-plethysm' for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-representations of the form $\Delta^{(M+1,1^{N-1})} \mathrm{Sym}^d E$. More generally, composition of Weyl functors corresponds to the plethysm product on general Schur functions: see for instance [7, Appendix A].

2.8. q**-binomial coefficients.** Let $[n]_q = (q^n - 1)/(q - 1) = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}$; note that $[d + 1]_q$ is the $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-character of $\mathrm{Sym}^d E$ on $\mathrm{diag}(1, q)$ and $q^{-d}[d + 1]_{q^2}$ is the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-character of $\mathrm{Sym}^d E$ on $\mathrm{diag}(q^{-1}, q)$. Let $[n]_q! = [n]_q[n - 1]_q \cdots [1]_q$. We define the *$q$-binomial coefficient* $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q$ by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[m]_q![n - m]_q!} \tag{2.9}$$

for $0 \leqslant m \leqslant n$. Observe that $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q$ specializes to the binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{m}$ on setting $q = 1$. It is well known that $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q$ enumerates partitions in the $(n - m) \times m$ rectangle by their size, or equivalently, multisets of $\{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ of size $n - m$ by their sum of entries (this follows easily from [15, Proposition 7.8.3]). Thus

$$\begin{bmatrix} m + d \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q = s_{(m)}(1, q, \dots, q^d). \tag{2.10}$$

Similarly $q^{\binom{m}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} d+1 \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q$ enumerates m-subsets of $\{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ by their sum of entries (see [14, Proposition 1.3.19]) and so, using that $s_{(1^m)}$ is the elementary symmetric function of degree m, we obtain

$$q^{\binom{m}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} d + 1 \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q = s_{(1^m)}(1, q, \dots, q^d). \tag{2.11}$$

In particular, by setting $q = 1$ in (2.8) and specializing M and N appropriately, (2.10) and (2.11) imply that

$$\dim \mathrm{Sym}_a \mathrm{Sym}^b E = \binom{a + b}{a}, \quad \dim \bigwedge^a \mathrm{Sym}^b E = \binom{b + 1}{a}. \tag{2.12}$$

Of course, these formulae can also be proved directly by counting the a-multisubsets and the a-subsets of $\{0, 1, \dots, b\}$, respectively.

2.9. **Lifting** $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$**-isomorphisms to** $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$**-isomorphisms.** The following result is basic: see for instance [13, Lemma 3.5] for a special case. We refer the reader to [5] for background on polynomial representations. All we need for our purposes is that $\Delta^\lambda \mathrm{Sym}^d E$ has polynomial degree $|\lambda|d$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let* $\mathbb{F}$ *be an infinite field and let* V *and* W *be polynomial representations of* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ *of equal degrees. If* $V \cong_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})} W$ *then* $V \cong_{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})} W$*.*

Proof. By passing to a field extension, we may assume that $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed. Then $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ is generated by the scalar multiples of the identity and $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$. Moreover $\alpha I \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ acts on V and W as scalar multiplication by α^d where d is the common degree. Therefore the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-isomorphism is a $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-isomorphism. □

3. Symmetric polynomials and $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-plethysms

3.1. **Symmetric and antisymmetric polynomials.** An *alphabet* $\mathbf{x}$ is a finite set of distinct variables, denoted $x_1, \ldots, x_D$. We write $|\mathbf{x}|$ for the size $D \in \mathbb{N}_0$ of the alphabet. Let $\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}]$ denote the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_D]$. The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_D$ acts on $\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}]$ by permuting the variables: thus $\sigma \cdot P = P(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma^{-1}(D)})$. The subalgebra

$$\Lambda[\mathbf{x}] = \{P \in \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}] : \sigma \cdot P = P \text{ for all } \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_D\} \tag{3.1}$$

of $\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}]$ is the *algebra of symmetric polynomials in* $\mathbf{x}$. It is graded by total degree: $\Lambda[\mathbf{x}] = \bigoplus_i \Lambda_i[\mathbf{x}]$. We define $\Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{x}] = \bigoplus_{i \leqslant d} \Lambda_i[\mathbf{x}]$. If $d = 0$ or $|\mathbf{x}| = 0$, then $\Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{x}] \cong \mathbb{F}$.

Given a partition λ, we define

$$a_{\lambda+\rho}(\mathbf{x}) = \det(x_j^{\lambda_i + D - i})_{i,j}$$

where $\rho = (D-1, \ldots, 2, 1)$ and the sum of partitions is taken entry-wise. Since permuting the rows in a $D \times D$ matrix by a permutation σ changes its determinant by $\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)$, each polynomial $a_{\lambda+\rho}(\mathbf{x})$ is antisymmetric in $\mathbf{x}$; that is, $\sigma \cdot a_{\lambda+\rho}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) a_{\lambda+\rho}(\mathbf{x})$. For example

$$a_\rho(\mathbf{x}) = \det(x_j^{D-i})_{i,j} = \prod_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant D} (x_i - x_j)$$

is the Vandermonde determinant. More generally we have the following classical result, which dates back to Cauchy [2].

Lemma 3.1. *A polynomial $P \in \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}]$ is antisymmetric in $\mathbf{x}$ if and only if it is divisible by $a_\rho(\mathbf{x})$. Moreover, in this case $P(\mathbf{x})/a_\rho(\mathbf{x})$ is symmetric in $\mathbf{x}$.*

Proof. See [7, page 40]. □

Definition 3.2. The *Schur polynomial* in $\mathbf{x}$ labelled by the partition λ is

$$s_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = a_{\lambda+\rho}(\mathbf{x}) / a_\rho(\mathbf{x}).$$

Since $a_{\lambda+\rho}(\mathbf{x})$ is antisymmetric, by Lemma 3.1, $s_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ is a symmetric polynomial. The Schur polynomials for partitions λ of d having at most D parts (where $D = |\mathbf{x}|$) are a basis for $\Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{x}]$. For further background on symmetric functions and symmetric polynomials we refer the reader to [15, Ch. 7] or [7]; in particular for the equivalence of Definition 3.2 with (2.3) when λ is a hook partition, see [15, Theorem 7.15.1].

Remark 3.3. *An important feature of our construction of the algebra $\Lambda[\mathbf{x}]$ of symmetric polynomials is that the field $\mathbb{F}$ is arbitrary. The structure constants of $\Lambda[\mathbf{x}]$ depend both on $\mathbb{F}$ and on the size of $\mathbf{x}$. For instance, if $|\mathbf{x}| = 3$ then*

$$s_{(2,1)}^2(\mathbf{x}) = s_{(2,2,2)}(\mathbf{x}) + 2s_{(3,2,1)}(\mathbf{x}) + s_{(3,3)}(\mathbf{x}) + s_{(4,1,1)}(\mathbf{x}) + s_{(4,2)}(\mathbf{x})$$

for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, but the term $2s_{(3,2,1)}(\mathbf{x})$ vanishes when $\mathbb{F}$ has characteristic 2. If instead $\mathbf{x}$ has size 4 then $s_{(2,2,1,1)}$ also appears as a summand.

3.2. **Polynomial identification of symmetric power plethysm.** Let E be the 2-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}$ with basis X, Y. In §2.6, we defined $\mathrm{Sym}^d E$ to be the degree d homogeneous component $\mathbb{F}_d[X,Y]$ of the graded polynomial algebra $\mathbb{F}[X,Y]$. Motivated by this construction, we now present similar *polynomial interpretations* of plethysms $\mathrm{Sym}_a \mathrm{Sym}^b E$ and $\bigwedge^a \mathrm{Sym}^b E$.

Let $\mathbb{F}_d[\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}]$ be the degree d homogeneous (in the total power of each pair X_i, Y_i) component of the grading of $\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}]$, where $|\mathbf{X}| = |\mathbf{Y}| = N$. Recall that $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ acts on $\mathbb{F}_d[\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}]$ component-wise via rule (2.7) so $(\mathrm{Sym}^d E)^{\otimes N}$ can be viewed as $\mathbb{F}_d[\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}]$.

The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_N$ acts on $\mathbb{F}_d[\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}]$ by letting $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N$ send X_i to $X_{\sigma(i)}$ and Y_i to $Y_{\sigma(i)}$ for all i. Let $\mathbb{F}_d[\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}]^{\mathfrak{S}_N}$ be the invariant subspace of this action. The action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ commutes with the action of $\mathfrak{S}_N$, inducing an action on $\mathbb{F}_d[\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}]^{\mathfrak{S}_N}$. As an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-representation, $\mathbb{F}_d[\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}]^{\mathfrak{S}_N}$ is therefore isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sym}_N \mathrm{Sym}^d E$.

For ease of notation in later parts of the paper, we specialize Y_i to 1 for all $i = 1, \ldots, N$. Since the polynomials we consider are homogeneous in the total degree of X_i, Y_i, this evaluation is invertible, with inverse $X_i^{\alpha_i} \leftrightarrow X_i^{\alpha_i} Y_i^{d-\alpha_i}$ for all i. We thus obtain an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\mathrm{ev}_{\mathbf{Y}} : \mathbb{F}_d[\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}]^{\mathfrak{S}_N} \cong \Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{X}] \tag{3.2}$$

via $Y_i \mapsto 1$ for all i. We endow $\Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{X}]$ with an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-action so that it intertwines with the action on $\mathbb{F}_d[\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}]^{\mathfrak{S}_N}$ under the above isomorphism $\mathrm{ev}_{\mathbf{Y}}$. As such, for the rest of the paper we work with the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-representation $\Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{X}]$, isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sym}_N \mathrm{Sym}^d E$ by the construction in this subsection.

Remark 3.4. *By Lemma 3.1 and this construction, if $N \leqslant d+1$, then the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-plethysm $\bigwedge^N \mathrm{Sym}^d E$ is identified with the vector space $a_\rho(\mathbf{x})\Lambda_{\leqslant d-N+1}[\mathbf{x}]$ of antiymmetric polynomials of degree at most d in $|\mathbf{x}| = N$ variables.*

Remark 3.5. *The process described above passing from representations to $\Lambda[\mathbf{x}]$ is different to the process of taking Weyl characters. In particular, note that we are assigning a symmetric polynomial to* an element *of a representation, and not to a representation itself.*

To illustrate the usefulness of this identification, we present a brief proof of the modular Wronskian isomorphism; for comparison, the original proof in [12] takes four pages.

Proposition 3.6 (Wronskian isomorphism [12, 6])**.** *Let $N, d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be such that $N \leqslant d+1$. There is an isomorphism of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-plethysms*

$$\mathrm{Sym}_N \mathrm{Sym}^d E \cong \bigwedge^N \mathrm{Sym}^{d+N-1} E.$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x}$ be an alphabet of size N. Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta : \Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{x}] &\longrightarrow a_\rho(\mathbf{x})\Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{x}] \\ P(\mathbf{x}) &\longmapsto a_\rho(\mathbf{x})P(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Since polynomial algebras are integral domains, the map ζ is injective. Since a_ρ is, by definition, the Vandermonde determinant, each $g \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ acts on it trivially, therefore

$$g \cdot (a_\rho P) = (g \cdot a_\rho)(g \cdot P) = a_\rho (g \cdot P),$$

which shows that ζ is $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-equivariant. Finally, by (2.12),

$$\dim \mathrm{Sym}_N \mathrm{Sym}^d E = \binom{d+N}{N} = \dim \bigwedge^N \mathrm{Sym}^{d+N-1} E.$$

An invertible $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-equivariant linear map between vector spaces of equal dimensions is an isomorphism of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-representations. Under the polynomial identification above, ζ gives the desired isomorphism (1.1). □

Remark 3.7. *It is not very hard to see that the isomorphism ζ coincides with the isomorphism defined (in a different way) in Theorem 1.4 of* [12]*. We omit further details as this fact is not relevant to the remainder of this paper.*

Example 3.8. *In our polynomial interpretation, one basis of* $\operatorname{Sym}_N \operatorname{Sym}^d E$ *corresponds to Schur polynomials* $s_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ *with* $|\mathbf{x}| = N$ *and* $\lambda_1 \leqslant d$*. The map* ζ *sends a basis element* $s_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ *to* $a_{\lambda+\rho}(\mathbf{x})$*. In particular, the antisymmetric polynomials* $a_{\lambda+\rho}(\mathbf{x})$ *with* $\lambda_1 \leqslant d$ *correspond to the canonical basis elements of* $\bigwedge^N \operatorname{Sym}^{d+N-1} E$*.*

When $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, we may use the same identifications to define an action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ on $\Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{X}]$. This is used in Proposition 4.9, where we present a technical trick relating the action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ and $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ on tensor products of these representations. We remind the reader that the action of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ on $\operatorname{Sym}^d E$ is determined by the action of its generators

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which correspond to $X \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}Y}$ and $Y \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}X}$, respectively, in the polynomial construction of §2.6. The action of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ on $\operatorname{Sym}_N \operatorname{Sym}^d E$ then follows from the usual multilinear rules for Lie algebra action (see for instance [3, p110]). In particular, the action of f on the identification $\Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{X}]$ is given by $\sum_{i=1}^{|\mathbf{X}|} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}X_i}$, while the action e is inherited from its action on $\mathbb{F}_d[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]^{\mathfrak{S}_N}$.

Example 3.9. *Consider* $X_1^3 X_2^4 + X_1^4 X_2^3 \in \Lambda_{\leqslant 6}[\mathbf{X}]$ *for an alphabet* $\mathbf{X}$ *of size 2. This polynomial corresponds to the element*

$$X_1^3 Y_1^3 X_2^4 Y_2^2 + X_1^4 Y_1^2 X_2^3 Y_2^3 \in \mathbb{F}_d[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]^{\mathfrak{S}_2}$$

of $\operatorname{Sym}_2 \operatorname{Sym}^6 E$*. Then the* $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$*-action inherited from* (3.2) *is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} e \cdot (X_1^3 X_2^4 + X_1^4 X_2^3) &= 6X_1^4 X_2^4 + 4(X_1^3 X_2^5 + X_1^5 X_2^3) \\ f \cdot (X_1^3 X_2^4 + X_1^4 X_2^3) &= 3(X_1^2 X_2^4 + X_1^4 X_2^2) + 8X_1^3 X_2^3. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.10. *By* (3.2) *we have an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{ev}_{\mathbf{X}} \circ \mathrm{ev}_{\mathbf{Y}}^{-1} : \Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{X}] \cong \Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{Y}].$$

Note that the generator e *acts on* $\Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{Y}]$ *by* $\sum_{i=1}^{|\mathbf{Y}|} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}Y_i}$*. More informally, the role of* e *with respect to* $\Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{Y}]$ *is the same as the role of* f *for* $\Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{X}]$*. In practice, this allows to deduce properties of* e *(such as* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$*-equivariance with a linear map, as used later in the proof of Proposition 4.9) from properties of* f*, and vice versa.*

3.3. **Lagrange interpolation.** This classical result stated below is critical to the proofs of Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.10.

Proposition 3.11 (Lagrange interpolation)**.** *Let* $P \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ *be a polynomial of degree* d*. Given* $D > d$ *and* D *distinct nodes* $x_1, \ldots, x_D \in \mathbb{F}$*, we have*

$$P(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{D} \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{x - x_i}{x_j - x_i} P(x_j).$$

Proof. Note that $P(x) - \sum_{j=1}^{D} \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{x - x_i}{x_j - x_i} P(x_j)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $D - 1$ having at least D roots (namely the nodes $x_1, \ldots, x_D$), so it is identically 0. □

Later, we shall use Lagrange interpolation for polynomials with coefficients in the field $\mathbb{F}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$. Consider the natural action of the group algebra $\mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_{|\mathbf{x}|+|\mathbf{y}|}$ on $\mathbb{F}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$ by place permutation of the transcendental elements $\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}$. For $1 \leqslant j \leqslant |\mathbf{y}|$, let

$$t_j = \sum_{i=1}^{|\mathbf{x}|} (x_i, y_j) \in \mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_{|\mathbf{x}|+|\mathbf{y}|} \tag{3.3}$$

be the sum of all transpositions swapping an element of $\mathbf{x}$ with a fixed element y_j of $\mathbf{y}$. These group algebra elements permit a concise form for Lagrange interpolation.

Example 3.12. *Let $P \in \mathbb{F}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})[z]$ be a polynomial of degree at most $N = |\mathbf{x}|$. The identity in Proposition 3.11 can be written as*

$$P(z) = (1+t_j)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{z-x_i}{y_j - x_i} P(y_j)\right). \tag{3.4}$$

3.4. **Polynomial identification of hook Weyl module plethysm.** As a final preliminary, in this section we construct a polynomial identification of $\Delta^{(M+1,1^{N-1})}\operatorname{Sym}^d E$ from Theorem 1.1, using the setting of §3.2. Recall the map δ_M defined in (2.5). Directly from the definition of $v_{c_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{c_R}$ in §2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_{c_0} \wedge v_{b_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{b_{N-1}} \wedge v_{c_1} \otimes v_{c_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{c_M} = \\ \sum_{\tau} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau)\tau \cdot (v_{c_0} \wedge v_{b_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{b_{N-1}} \otimes v_{c_1} \otimes v_{c_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{c_M}), \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is over all transpositions $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{N+1}$ that act by swapping the $(N+1)$st tensor factor with one of the first N tensor factors. Since δ_M is a linear map, for each $v \in \bigwedge^N V \otimes \operatorname{Sym}_M V$ we have

$$\delta_M(v) = \sum_{\tau} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau)\tau \cdot v.$$

Now under the polynomial identification of symmetric powers from §3.2, taking $V = \operatorname{Sym}^d E$ the map δ_M having kernel $\Delta^{(M+1,1^{N-1})}\operatorname{Sym}^d E$ may be re-expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_M : a_\rho(\mathbf{x})\Lambda_{\leqslant d-N+1}[\mathbf{x}] \otimes \Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{y}] &\longrightarrow a_\rho(\mathbf{x},y_1)\Lambda_{\leqslant d-N+1}[\mathbf{x},y_1] \otimes \Lambda_{\leqslant d}[y_2,\ldots,y_M] \\ P(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) &\longmapsto (1-t_1)P(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

with $|\mathbf{x}| = N, |\mathbf{y}| = M$, and $t_1 \in \mathbb{F}\mathfrak{S}_{N+M}$ defined in (3.3).

Remark 3.13. *The variables $\mathbf{y}$ should not be confused with the variables $\mathbf{Y}$ from previous sections; in particular, the polynomials $P(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$ are not necessarily homogeneous in the degree of x_i and y_i and even the number of x's and y's need not be equal.*

Hence, by Lemma 2.4, we identify $\Delta^{(M+1,1^{N-1})}\operatorname{Sym}^d E$ with the kernel of δ_M, considered as the polynomial map (3.5).

4. Definitions of the isomorphisms and their basic properties

In this section, we define two polynomial evaluation maps, ψ and ϕ, that we shall later show they induce the isomorphisms in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. We prove that these maps are well-defined, $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-equivariant, and admit left inverses. The descriptions of the maps are very similar; the main differences lie in their respective domains and codomains. As a result, in some cases, we can verify the above properties simultaneously.

Definition 4.1. Let $M, d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N \leqslant d+1$. Let $\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}$ be alphabets with $|\mathbf{x}| = N$ and $|\mathbf{y}| = |\mathbf{z}| = M$. Define ψ to be the evaluation map

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : \Lambda_{\leqslant N-1}[\mathbf{z}] \otimes \Lambda_{\leqslant d-N+1}[\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}] &\longrightarrow \Lambda_{\leqslant d-N+1}[\mathbf{x}] \otimes \Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{y}] \\ P(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}) &\longmapsto P(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let ζ be the Wronskian isomorphism from Proposition 3.6. With the identifications from §3.2 and §3.4, the image of*

$$\operatorname{Sym}_M \operatorname{Sym}^{N-1} E \otimes \operatorname{Sym}_{M+N} \operatorname{Sym}^{d-N+1} E$$

under the linear map $(\zeta \otimes 1) \circ \psi$ is a subspace of $\Delta^{(M+1,1^{N-1})} \operatorname{Sym}^d E$.

Proof. Recall from §3 that an element of $\operatorname{Sym}_M \operatorname{Sym}^{N-1} E \otimes \operatorname{Sym}_{M+N} \operatorname{Sym}^{d-N+1} E$ is identified with a symmetric polynomial $P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = \sum_i Q_i(\mathbf{z}) \otimes R_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \Lambda_{\leqslant N-1}[\mathbf{z}] \otimes \Lambda_{\leqslant d-N+1}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$. By linearity, we may assume without loss of generality, that this symmetric polynomial is a pure tensor $Q(\mathbf{z}) \otimes R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$.

First, we show that ψ is well defined. After evaluating $\mathbf{z}$ to $\mathbf{y}$ by the specialization $z_i \mapsto y_i$, the image of $Q \otimes R$ is symmetric in $\mathbf{y}$ and symmetric in $\mathbf{x}$. Counting degrees, we have

$$\psi(Q \otimes R) = Q(\mathbf{y}) R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \Lambda_{\leqslant d-N+1}[\mathbf{x}] \otimes \Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{y}].$$

Next, apply the Wronskian isomorphism to the first tensor factor to get

$$\big((\zeta \otimes 1) \circ \psi\big)(Q \otimes R) \in a_\rho(\mathbf{x}) \Lambda_{\leqslant d-N+1}[\mathbf{x}] \otimes \Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{y}],$$

so by (3.5), it remains to show that the right-hand side is in the kernel of δ_M. That is,

$$\big(\delta_M \circ (\zeta \otimes 1) \circ \psi\big)(Q \otimes R) = 0.$$

Indeed, $R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is symmetric in $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(\delta_M \circ (\zeta \otimes 1) \circ \psi\big)(Q \otimes R) \\
&\qquad = (1 - t_1)\big(a_\rho(\mathbf{x}) Q(\mathbf{y}) R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\big) \\
&\qquad = R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \cdot (1 - t_1)\big(a_\rho(\mathbf{x}) Q(\mathbf{y})\big) \\
&\qquad = R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \cdot a_\rho(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \left(Q(\mathbf{y}) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{y_1 - x_j}{x_i - x_j} Q\big(x_i, y_2, \ldots, y_M\big) \right) \\
&\qquad = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where the final step follows from Lagrange interpolation (Proposition 3.11) of the polynomial $\tilde{Q}(Y) = Q(Y, y_2, \ldots, y_M) \in \mathbb{F}(\mathbf{x}, y_2, \ldots, y_M)[Y]$ of degree $\deg \tilde{Q} = \deg_Y Q \leqslant N - 1$ on the N nodes $x_1, \ldots, x_N$, evaluated at $Y = y_1$. □

Example 4.3. *One can derive combinatorial formulas for the map $(\zeta \otimes 1) \circ \psi$ on classical bases. Recall that $|\mathbf{y}| = |\mathbf{z}| = M$ and $|\mathbf{x}| = N$. For simplicity in this example, we set $M = 1$. Then*

$$\psi : \Lambda_{\leqslant N-1}[z] \otimes \Lambda_{\leqslant d-N+1}[\mathbf{x}, y] \longrightarrow \Lambda_{\leqslant d-N+1}[\mathbf{x}] \otimes \Lambda_{\leqslant d}[y].$$

Under the identifications from §3, we have

$$(\zeta \otimes 1) \circ \psi : \operatorname{Sym}^{N-1} E \otimes \operatorname{Sym}_{N+1} \operatorname{Sym}^{d-N+1} E \longrightarrow \Delta^{(2,1^{N-1})} \operatorname{Sym}^d E.$$

Consider a basis element $z^n s_\lambda(\mathbf{x}, y)$ of the domain for some $n \leqslant N - 1$ and $\lambda_1 \leqslant d - N + 1$, where s_λ denotes the Schur polynomial from Definition 3.2. Then ψ maps this element to $y^n s_\lambda(\mathbf{x}, y)$. Using skew Schur functions (see [7, I, §5] *or* [15, §7.10]*) and the plethystic addition formula $s_\lambda(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}, \tilde{\mathbf{y}}) = \sum_{\mu \subseteq \lambda} s_\mu(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) s_{\lambda/\mu}(\tilde{\mathbf{y}})$ (see* [7, I, (8.8)]*), $y^n s_\lambda(\mathbf{x}, y)$ can be decomposed into a sum of pure tensors, giving*

$$y^n s_\lambda(\mathbf{x}, y) = y^n \sum_{\mu \subseteq \lambda} s_\mu(\mathbf{x}) s_{\lambda/\mu}(y).$$

Finally, $\zeta \otimes 1$ sends the right-hand side to $y^n \sum_{\mu \subseteq \lambda} a_{\mu+\rho}(\mathbf{x}) s_{\lambda/\mu}(y)$. It is notable that the plethystic addition formula corresponds to this 'separation of variables' in our symmetric functions model.

Remark 4.4. *The isomorphism*

$$\varphi : \mathrm{Sym}^{N-1} E \otimes \bigwedge^{N+1} \mathrm{Sym}^{d+1} E \to \Delta^{(2,1^{N-1})} \mathrm{Sym}^d E$$

constructed in [8, (1.8)] *is precisely* $(\zeta \otimes 1) \circ \psi \circ (1 \otimes \zeta^{-1})$. *Explicitly,*

$$\varphi : z^n a_{\lambda+\rho}(\mathbf{x}, y) \mapsto y^n \sum_{\mu \subseteq \lambda} a_{\mu+\rho}(\mathbf{x}) s_{\lambda/\mu}(y)$$

on basis elements. It is far from obvious that this description of φ *coincides with the map defined in* [8]*, and the only proof that the authors have occupies several pages. Since we believe the description of* φ *given in this paper is the most useful for further work, we shall not give more details of the proof of this remark here.*

Definition 4.5. Let $M, N, d \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}$ be alphabets with $|\mathbf{x}| = N$ and $|\mathbf{y}| = |\mathbf{z}| = M$. Define ϕ to be the map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \Lambda_{\leqslant N}[\mathbf{z}] \otimes \Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] &\longrightarrow \Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{x}] \otimes \Lambda_{\leqslant d+N}[\mathbf{y}] \\ P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) &\longmapsto P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.6. *With the identification from §3.2, the map* ϕ *induces a linear map*

$$\phi : \mathrm{Sym}_M \mathrm{Sym}^N E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_{N+M} \mathrm{Sym}^d E \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}_N \mathrm{Sym}^d E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_M \mathrm{Sym}^{d+N} E$$

of vector spaces.

Proof. This follows directly from the definition of ϕ and the identifications in §3. □

To show the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-equivariance and construct left inverses for ψ and ϕ, we consider a more general map.

Definition 4.7. Let $M, N, \beta, \varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and let $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}$ be alphabets with $|\mathbf{x}| = N$ and $|\mathbf{y}| = |\mathbf{z}| = M$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : \Lambda_{\leqslant \beta}[\mathbf{z}] \otimes \Lambda_{\leqslant \varepsilon}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] &\longrightarrow \Lambda_{\leqslant \varepsilon}[\mathbf{x}] \otimes \Lambda_{\leqslant \varepsilon+\beta}[\mathbf{y}] \\ P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) &\longmapsto P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.8. *When* $\beta = N - 1$ *and* $\varepsilon = d - N + 1$*, the map* π *coincides with* ψ*. When* $\beta = N$ *and* $\varepsilon = d$*, the map* π *coincides with* ϕ*.*

Proposition 4.9. *The map* π *is* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$*-equivariant.*

Proof. Since π sends polynomials with integer coefficients to polynomials with integer coefficients, we shall follow the technical trick from [8, §2.2] and [12, §4.2]. For any $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}$, the matrices $U_\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \gamma \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and their transposes generate $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$.

Verifying equivariance under these matrices reduces to checking an identity of polynomials in γ with coefficients in the image of $\mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathbb{F}$. It is therefore sufficient to verify equivariance over $\mathbb{Z}[\gamma]$. Consequently, it suffices for the equality to hold for any transcendental element γ in any field containing $\mathbb{Z}$ as a subring. In particular, it is enough to prove the result for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and so for $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Since $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is generated by e and f, this reduces the problem to checking that π commutes with e and f. Their action on the elements of $\Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{x}]$ is described in §3.2. We present the proof for f, and the argument for e is similar by Remark 3.10. Recall that f acts on $\Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{x}]$ via $\sum_{i=1}^{|\mathbf{x}|} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x_i}$. Since taking derivatives commutes with summation,

it suffices to show that π and f commute on pure tensors $Q(\mathbf{z}) \otimes R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$:

$$\begin{aligned} f \cdot \ \pi\big(Q(\mathbf{z})R(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\big) &= f \cdot \big(Q(\mathbf{y})R(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\big) \\ &= \big(f \cdot Q(\mathbf{y})\big)R(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) + Q(\mathbf{y})\big(f \cdot R(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\big) \\ &= \pi\big(\big(f \cdot Q(\mathbf{z})\big)R(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) + Q(\mathbf{z})\big(f \cdot R(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\big)\big) \\ &= \pi\big(f \cdot \big(Q(\mathbf{z})R(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\big)\big). \end{aligned}$$ □

To conclude this section, we construct a left inverse $\tilde{\pi}$ of π. Inspired by (3.4), for each $1 \leqslant j \leqslant |\mathbf{y}|$ we define an operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_j : \mathbb{F}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})[\mathbf{z}] &\longrightarrow \mathbb{F}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})[\mathbf{z}] \\ P &\longmapsto (1+t_j)\Big(P \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{|\mathbf{x}|} \frac{z_j - x_i}{y_j - x_i}\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where t_j is given by (3.3). Informally, these operators will be used to 'recover' the variable z_j after it disappears under the evaluation $z_j \mapsto y_j$; this is made precise in Example 4.11 following the proposition below.

Proposition 4.10. *If $\beta \leqslant N$, then the map $\tilde{\pi} : f \mapsto \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{L}_2 \dots \mathcal{L}_M(f)$, defined on the image of π, is a left inverse of π.*

Proof. By linearity, it suffices to show that $\tilde{\pi} \circ \pi$ acts as the identity on pure tensors $Q(\mathbf{z}) \otimes R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ in $\Lambda_{\leqslant\beta}[\mathbf{z}] \otimes \Lambda_{\leqslant\varepsilon}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$. The definitions of π and $\tilde{\pi}$ give

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\pi} \circ \pi)\big(Q(\mathbf{z})R(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\big) &= \tilde{\pi}\big(Q(\mathbf{y})R(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\big) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{L}_2 \dots \mathcal{L}_M\big(Q(\mathbf{y})R(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\big). \end{aligned}$$

Since R is symmetric in $\mathbf{x} \cup \mathbf{y}$, we have $(x_i, y_j)R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{L}_j(Q(\mathbf{y})R(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})) = R(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathcal{L}_j(Q(\mathbf{y})).$$

We claim by induction that

$$\mathcal{L}_j \dots \mathcal{L}_M(Q(\mathbf{y})R(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})) = R(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})Q(y_1, \dots, y_{j-1}, z_j, \dots, z_M).$$

The base case $j = M$ follows directly from Lagrange interpolation (Proposition 3.11), and so does the inductive step:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{L}_j\big(Q(y_1, \dots, y_j, z_{j+1}, ..., z_M)R(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\big) \\ &\qquad = R(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \cdot (1+t_j)\Big(Q(y_1, \dots, y_j, z_{j+1}, ..., z_M) \cdot \textstyle\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{z_j - x_i}{y_j - x_i}\Big) \\ &\qquad \overset{(3.4)}{=} R(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})Q(y_1, \dots, y_{j-1}, z_j, ..., z_M)\,. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step we apply Lagrange interpolation at the $N+1$ nodes $x_1, \dots, x_N, y_j$ for the polynomial $\tilde{Q}(Z) = Q(y_1, \dots, y_{j-1}, Z, z_{j+1,\dots,z_M}) \in \mathbb{F}(y_1, \dots, y_{j-1}, z_{j+1}, \dots, z_M)[Z]$ of degree

$$\deg \tilde{Q} = \deg_Z Q = \beta < N + 1.$$

We conclude by induction that

$$\mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{L}_2 \dots \mathcal{L}_M\big(Q(\mathbf{y})R(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\big) = Q(\mathbf{z})R(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}),$$

as desired. Hence,

$$\tilde{\pi} : \mathrm{im}(\pi) \to \Lambda_{\leqslant\beta}[\mathbf{z}] \otimes \Lambda_{\leqslant\varepsilon}[\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}]$$

is a well-defined inverse of π. □

Example 4.11. *Let $N = 1$ and consider a pure tensor $z \cdot P(x, y)$ of $\Lambda_{\leqslant 1}[z] \otimes \Lambda_{\leqslant d-1}[x, y]$. Then $\pi(z \cdot P(x, y)) = y \cdot P(x, y)$, and $\tilde{\pi} = \mathcal{L}_1$. We can check directly that:*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_1(y \cdot P(\mathbf{x}, y)) &= (1 + (x, y)) \left(y \cdot P(x, y) \cdot \frac{z - x}{y - x} \right) \\ &= y \cdot P(x, y) \cdot \frac{z - x}{y - x} + x \cdot P(y, x) \cdot \frac{z - y}{x - y} \\ &= \left(\frac{yz - yx}{y - x} + \frac{xz - xy}{x - y} \right) \cdot P(x, y) \\ &= z \cdot P(x, y),\end{aligned}$$

so indeed $\mathcal{L}_1$ recovered z after the evaluation $z \mapsto y$, and $\tilde{\pi} = \mathcal{L}_1$ is a left inverse of π for $N = M = \beta = 1, \varepsilon = d - 1$.

5. Hook plethysms — Proof of Theorem 1.1

We prove Theorem 1.1 by using the results in the previous section to show that $(\zeta \otimes 1) \circ \psi$ is an isomorphism from $\mathrm{Sym}_M \mathrm{Sym}^{N-1} E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_{M+N} \mathrm{Sym}^{d-N+1} E$ to $\Delta^{(M+1,1^{N-1})} \mathrm{Sym}^d E$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Recall from Definition 4.1 that ψ is a map on symmetric polynomials given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi : \Lambda_{\leqslant N-1}[\mathbf{z}] \otimes \Lambda_{\leqslant d-N+1}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] &\longrightarrow \Lambda_{\leqslant d-N+1}[\mathbf{x}] \otimes \Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{y}] \\ P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) &\longmapsto P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}).\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.9, it is an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-homomorphism with a left inverse $\tilde{\psi}$ defined in Proposition 4.10. Therefore, the composition

$$(\zeta \otimes 1) \circ \psi : \mathrm{Sym}_M \mathrm{Sym}^{N-1} E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_{M+N} \mathrm{Sym}^{d-N+1} E \longrightarrow \Delta^{(M+1,1^{N-1})} \mathrm{Sym}^d E$$

from Lemma 4.2 is an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-homomorphism with a left inverse $\tilde{\psi} \circ (\zeta^{-1} \otimes 1)$.

It remains to show that the dimensions of the two representations are equal. Indeed, by (2.12) and Proposition 2.3

$$\begin{aligned}&\dim\big(\mathrm{Sym}_M \mathrm{Sym}^{N-1} E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_{M+N} \mathrm{Sym}^{d-N+1} E \big) \\ &\quad = \binom{M + N - 1}{M} \binom{M + d + 1}{M + N} = \dim \left(\Delta^{(M+1,1^{N-1})} \mathrm{Sym}^d E \right). \qquad \square\end{aligned}$$

We deduce a corresponding isomorphism of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-plethysms.

Corollary 5.1. *Let $M, d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N \leqslant d + 1$. There is an isomorphism of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-representations*

$$\det^{\binom{N}{2}} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_M \mathrm{Sym}^{N-1} E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_{M+N} \mathrm{Sym}^{d-N+1} E \cong \Delta^{(M+1,1^{N-1})} \mathrm{Sym}^d E.$$

Proof. By passing to a field extension we may assume that $\mathbb{F}$ is infinite. The result then follows immediately from Theorem 1.1 by applying Lemma 2.6; note that the degree of the polynomial representation on the left-hand side is $N(N-1) + M(N-1) + (M+N)d - (M+N)(N-1) = (M+N)d$, which agrees with the right-hand side. $\square$

6. Trinomial plethysms — Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we gather the results about ϕ presented in §4 to prove Theorem 1.2. We shall make use of the trinomial revision identity (1.2)

$$\binom{M + N}{M} \binom{M + N + d}{M + N} = \binom{N + d}{N} \binom{M + N + d}{M}$$

stated in the introduction.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Recall from Definition 4.5 that we have a map ϕ of symmetric polynomials:

$$\phi : \Lambda_{\leqslant N}[\mathbf{z}] \otimes \Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] \longrightarrow \Lambda_{\leqslant d}[\mathbf{x}] \otimes \Lambda_{\leqslant d+N}[\mathbf{y}].$$

By Lemma 4.6 and Proposition 4.9, it induces an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-homomorphism

$$\phi : \mathrm{Sym}_M \mathrm{Sym}^N E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_{N+M} \mathrm{Sym}^d E \to \mathrm{Sym}_N \mathrm{Sym}^d E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_M \mathrm{Sym}^{d+N} E$$

with a left inverse $\tilde{\phi}$ defined in Proposition 4.10. To conclude the proof, note that by (2.12) and (1.2) these representations have equal dimensions. □

Corollary 6.1. *Applying the Wronskian isomorphism* (1.1) *and replacing* d *with* $e - M - N + 1 \geqslant 0$ *we get the equivalent form*

$$\bigwedge^M \mathrm{Sym}^{N+M-1} E \otimes \bigwedge^{M+N} \mathrm{Sym}^e E \cong \bigwedge^N \mathrm{Sym}^{e-M} E \otimes \bigwedge^M \mathrm{Sym}^e E$$

which is also of note.

Remark 6.2. *As in Corollary 5.1, we deduce a* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$*-isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Sym}_M \mathrm{Sym}^N E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_{N+M} \mathrm{Sym}^d E \cong \mathrm{Sym}_N \mathrm{Sym}^d E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_M \mathrm{Sym}^{d+N} E.$$

When $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$*, equating the characters gives the* q*-binomial identity:*

$$\begin{bmatrix} N+M \\ M \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} d+N+M \\ N+M \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} d+N \\ N \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} d+N+M \\ M \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

lifting (1.2) *to* q*-binomial coefficients.*

7. Team-and-leader isomorphisms — Proof of Corollary 1.3

In this section, we deduce the isomorphisms in Corollary 1.3, and explain why we refer to them as 'team-and-leader' isomorphisms. For ease of reference we restate Corollary 1.3 below.

Corollary 1.3. *Let* $K, d \in \mathbb{N}_0$*. The following isomorphisms of* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$*-representations hold:*

(i) $\mathrm{Sym}^{d+K} E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_K \mathrm{Sym}^d E \cong \mathrm{Sym}^K E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_{K+1} \mathrm{Sym}^d E$;
(ii) $\mathrm{Sym}_K E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_{K+1} \mathrm{Sym}^d E \cong \mathrm{Sym}^d E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_K \mathrm{Sym}^{d+1} E$;
(iii) $\mathrm{Sym}_{d+K} E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_K \mathrm{Sym}^d E \cong \mathrm{Sym}_d E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_K \mathrm{Sym}^{d+1} E$.

Proof. Using that $\mathrm{Sym}_1 \mathrm{Sym}^a E \cong \mathrm{Sym}^a E$ and $\mathrm{Sym}_a \mathrm{Sym}^1 E \cong \mathrm{Sym}_a E$ as $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-representations, part (i) follows from Theorem 1.2 by letting $M = 1$ and $N = K$. Similarly, part (ii) follows from Theorem 1.2 by letting $M = K$ and $N = 1$.

For part (iii), we use the basic properties of dual representations. Since E is self-dual, we have $(\mathrm{Sym}_a E)^\star \cong \mathrm{Sym}^a E$ (see [12, page 10]), and $(\mathrm{Sym}_a \mathrm{Sym}^b E)^\star \cong \mathrm{Sym}_b \mathrm{Sym}^a E$ as $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-plethysms (see the proof of Corollary 1.5 in [12]).

Taking duals of both sides of (i), and applying either of the isomorphisms from the previous paragraph to each tensor factor accordingly, we obtain

$$\mathrm{Sym}_{d+K} E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_d \mathrm{Sym}^K E \cong \mathrm{Sym}_K E \otimes \mathrm{Sym}_d \mathrm{Sym}^{K+1} E.$$

This is equivalent to the identity in part (iii) upon swapping d and K. □

The first two isomorphisms decategorify by taking dimensions to the first two equalities in

$$(d+K+1)\binom{d+K}{K} = (K+1)\binom{d+K+1}{K+1} = (d+1)\binom{d+K+1}{K}. \tag{7.1}$$

The third isomorphism decategorifies to the equality of the left-hand and right-hand sides. We remark that these identities have appealing combinatorial proofs in the 'team-and-leader' model. For instance, to prove the first equality, take $d + K + 1$ people and, for the

left-hand side, suppose that one of them is a dictator who chooses K of the remaining $d+K$ people to form their goverment; for the right-hand side, suppose instead that $K+1$ of the people are democratically elected, and they choose a prime minister from their number in $K+1$ ways. For the second equality we invite the reader to find an interpretation using a presidential model. The q-analogues of these identities are of course given by specializing Remark 6.2 appropriately.

8. Team hierarchy and Catalan combinatorics

We conclude with an interesting corollary of Theorem 1.2, drawing from Catalan combinatorics. In the combinatorial interpretation, we consider teams with a layered leadership structure, generalizing the 'team-and-leader' model at the end of the previous section.

Set $N_0 = 0$ and let $N_k > \cdots > N_2 > N_1 > N_0 = 0$ be integers. Assume that they are in *generic position*, in the sense that $N_j - N_i \neq N_m - N_p$ for all distinct pairs $j > i \geqslant 0$ and $m > p \geqslant 0$. Consider the product

$$\binom{N_k}{N_{k-1}} \cdots \binom{N_3}{N_2}\binom{N_2}{N_1}. \tag{8.1}$$

As illustrated in Figure 1, we may successively apply identity (1.2) to obtain equalities of the form

$$\cdots \binom{N_j - N_{i-1}}{N_m - N_{i-1}}\binom{N_m - N_{i-1}}{N_{p-1} - N_{i-1}} \cdots = \cdots \binom{N_j - N_{i-1}}{N_{p-1} - N_{i-1}}\binom{N_j - N_{p-1}}{N_m - N_{p-1}} \cdots \tag{8.2}$$

for some $j > m \geqslant p > i \geqslant 1$. Theorem 1.2 then lifts this identity to an isomorphism of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$-plethysms. We call each of the resulting binomial expressions (up to permutation of the factors) an *election process* on a team hierarchy with k layers.

It turns out that the number of election processes in a team hierarchy with k layers is given by the Catalan number C_{k-1}. We show this in Lemma 8.1 below, by constructing an explicit bijection between the election processes and rooted binary trees with k leaves.



Figure 1. On the left, the five rooted binary trees with 4 leaves. An arrow is a right tree rotation. On the right, the five election processes on a team hierarchy with 4 layers of sizes $d > c > b > a$. An arrow is an application of (8.2).

We enumerate the leaves in a rooted binary tree by $1, 2, \ldots, k$ from left to right. We then label each vertex by an interval $[i, j]$, where i is the smallest numbered leaf among its descendants, and j is the largest. The leaf i is therefore labelled by the interval $[i, i]$.

Lemma 8.1. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between rooted binary trees with k leaves and election processes on team hierarchies with k layers.*

Proof. Let C be the *left comb* on k vertices, defined to be the rooted binary tree whose internal vertices are labeled $[1, 2], [1, 3], \ldots, [1, k]$. For instance, the tree below is the left

comb on 4 vertices with non-leaf nodes marked • and leaves marked ∘.



It is well understood [16] that all other rooted binary trees can be generated from C by successively applying *right tree rotations* to its subtrees:



(8.3)

Let T be a rooted binary tree with k leaves, let $N_k > \cdots > N_2 > N_1 > 0$ be integers, and set $N_0 = 0$. We assign a binomial coefficient to each internal node of T as follows. Let $[i, j]$ be an internal node, and let ℓ be largest such that $i \leqslant \ell < j$ and $[i, \ell]$ is a vertex of T. (It is possible that $[i, \ell]$ is a leaf.) Then, $[i, j]$ is assigned to

$$\binom{N_j - N_{i-1}}{N_\ell - N_{i-1}}.$$

The tree T is mapped to the product of the binomial coefficients assigned to its internal vertices. Since $N_0 = 0$, the left comb C is sent to

$$\binom{N_k}{N_{k-1}} \cdots \binom{N_3}{N_2}\binom{N_2}{N_1}.$$

The pairs of vertices highlighted in (8.3) are mapped to the left-hand and right-hand sides of (8.2), respectively. Hence, the map is bijective. □

Example 8.2. *We have the following correspondence:*



$$\longleftrightarrow \binom{N_5}{N_1}\binom{N_5 - N_1}{N_3 - N_1}\binom{N_5 - N_3}{N_4 - N_3}\binom{N_3 - N_1}{N_2 - N_1}.$$

For instance, consider the highlighted vertex $[2, 5]$. *The largest* ℓ *such that* $[2, \ell]$ *is a vertex, is* $\ell = 3$. *Consequently, it corresponds to* $\binom{N_5 - N_2 - 1}{N_3 - N_2 - 1}$.

Corollary 8.3. *Given integers* $N_k > \cdots > N_2 > N_1 > N_0 = 0$ *in generic position, Corollary 6.1 yields* C_{k-1} *isomorphic constructions of* $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{k-1} \bigwedge^{N_i} \operatorname{Sym}^{N_{i+1}-1} E$.

Example 8.4. *The* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$*-plethysms shown in the diagram below each of dimension* $\binom{15}{9}\binom{9}{5}\binom{5}{2}$, *are pairwise isomorphic via successive applications of the isomorphism from Corollary 6.1.*



References

[1] K. Akin, D. A. Buchsbaum, and J. Weyman, *Schur functors and Schur complexes*, Adv. in Math. **44** (1982), 207–278.

[2] A. L. Cauchy, *Mémoire sur les fonctions qui ne peuvent obtenir que deux valeurs égales et de signes contraires par suite des transpositions opérées entre les variables qu'elles renferment*, Journal de l'École polytechnique **10** (1815), no. 17, 29–112.

[3] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation theory: A first course*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer New York, 2013.

[4] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth, and O. Patashnik, *Concrete mathematics: a foundation for computer science*, Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc., USA, 1989.

[5] J. A. Green, *Polynomial representations of* GL_n, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 830, Springer, 2007. With an appendix on Schensted correspondence and Littelmann paths by K. Erdmann, J. A. Green and M. Schocker.

[6] D. Grinberg, *Comments on arXiv:2105.00538v3*, 2023. Link.

[7] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1979.

[8] Á. L. Martínez and M. Wildon, *A new modular plethystic* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$*-isomorphism* $\mathrm{Sym}^{N-1}E \otimes \bigwedge^{N+1} \mathrm{Sym}^{d+1}E \cong \Delta^{(2,1^{N-1})}\mathrm{Sym}^d E$, J. Alg. **682** (2025) 360–379.

[9] M. Maliakas and D.-D. Stergiopoulou, *On extensions of hook Weyl modules*, J. Alg. **226** (2022) 106971.

[10] E. McDowell, *An explicit construction of the Weyl module as a quotient of symmetric tensors by dual Garnir relations*, arXiv:2508.14788, August 2025, 15 pages.

[11] E. McDowell, *Representations of the general linear group with multilinear constructions*, Ph.D. thesis, Royal Holloway, University of London, 2021.

[12] E. McDowell and M. Wildon, *Modular plethystic isomorphisms for two-dimensional linear groups*, J. Alg. **602** (2022), 441–483.

[13] R. Paget and M. Wildon, *Plethysms of symmetric functions and representations of* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{C})$, Algebr. Comb. **4** (2021), no. 1, 27–68.

[14] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics vol. 1*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, volume 49, 1997.

[15] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics vol. 2*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, volume 62, 1999.

[16] D. Tamari, *The algebra of bracketings and their enumeration*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (3) **10** (1962), 131–146;

University of Bristol
Email address, Á. Gutiérrez: a.gutierrezcaceres@bristol.ac.uk
Email address, M. Szwej: michal.szwej@bristol.ac.uk
Email address, M. Wildon: mark.wildon@bristol.ac.uk

Columbia University
Email address, Á. L. Martínez: alm2297@columbia.edu