


## PROJECT IDEAS


MARK WILDON

I am happy to supervise projects in any area of combinatorics or algebra. This document gives some possible subjects. Most could be adapted to be suitable as either a 3rd year, 4th year or MSc project. This document is available from my webpage at <http://www.ma.rhul.ac.uk/~uvah099/other.html>.

**Combinatorial game theory.** In the game of *Domineering* the two players are called Horizontal and Vertical. In each turn, Vertical places a domino vertically on the board, and then Horizontal places a domino horizontally on the board. The first player who is unable to move loses. For example, the diagram below shows a position with Horizontal to play.



The aim of a project in this area would be to explain the remarkable connection between numbers and games that makes it possible to assign numerical values to Domineering positions, and so to decide optimal moves in even quite complicated positions. For example, of the boards



the first three have values  $1/2$ ,  $-1/2$  and  $2$  respectively. The fourth has a value  $\star$  that lies outside the real number system. The theory can also be applied to many other games, including Nim, Dots-and-Boxes

---

*Date:* July 21, 2013.

and go; any of these games could be used as an example in a project. For an undergraduate level introduction see [1].

**Poker.** It is possible to use game theory to give a complete analysis of some simplified poker games. For instance, in the AKQ-game, a pack consisting of the ace, king and queen of spades is shuffled, and each player is given one card. After a round of betting either one player folds, or there is a showdown and the player with the higher card wins. The optimal strategy for this game shows many strategies used by good poker players in real games, for example, bluffing on weak hands, and slow-playing on strong hands.

The aim of a project in this area could be to give a mathematical analysis of the AKQ-game or a more complicated variant. Alternatively, or in addition, some of the literature on five-card draw could be surveyed: see for example [19]. I can supply some computer code that can simulate different strategies in the AKQ-game and five-card draw and related games.

See [6] for an introduction to this area (written more for poker-players than for mathematicians).

**Derangements.** Let  $\sigma$  be a permutation of the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , i.e.  $\sigma$  is a bijective function from  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  to itself. A *fixed point* of  $\sigma$  is an element  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\sigma(k) = k$ . A permutation is said to be a *derangement* if it has no fixed points. Let  $r_n(k)$  be the number of permutations of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with exactly  $k$  fixed points and let  $d_n = r_n(0)$ .

There are a number of interesting combinatorial bijections involving derangements. For example, Wilf [18] gives a bijective proof that

$$r_n(0) - r_n(1) = (-1)^n.$$

Remmel [17] gives a bijective proof that

$$d_n = nd_{n-1} + (-1)^n.$$

A more recent paper by Diaconis, Fulman, Guralnick [9] proves a number of statistical results on the numbers  $r_n(k)$ . For many more open problems on derangements, see Peter Cameron's notes: [www.maths.qmul.ac.uk/~pjc/slides/beamer/triangle1.pdf](http://www.maths.qmul.ac.uk/~pjc/slides/beamer/triangle1.pdf).

**Stirling numbers.** Given  $n, k \in \mathbf{N}_0$ , the Stirling number of the second kind  $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$  is defined to be the number of set partitions of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  into  $k$  non-empty subsets. For example,  $\{\{1, 4\}, \{2\}, \{3, 5, 6\}\}$  is one of the set partitions contributing to  $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} 6 \\ 3 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$ .

The aim of this project would be to explore some of the many different settings in which Stirling numbers appear. See [11, §6.1] for an introduction to the subject and further examples.

**Estimates for the number of partitions of  $n$ .** A partition of a natural number is a way to write that number as a sum of smaller numbers. For example there are five partitions of 4, namely 4 itself,  $3 + 1$ ,  $2 + 2$ ,  $2 + 1 + 1$  and  $1 + 1 + 1 + 1$ . In 1918 G. H. Hardy and S. Ramanujan [13] proved an amazing asymptotic formula for the number of partitions of  $n$ . The early sections of their paper give some easier combinatorial argument that it would be interested to survey. A later paper by Erdős [10] gives some other nice elementary arguments. (Here ‘elementary’ means not using complex analysis: some real analysis will be required!)

**The RSK-correspondence.** If  $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$ , then any sequence of  $mn + 1$  distinct real numbers has either an increasing subsequence of length  $m + 1$ , or a decreasing subsequence of length  $n + 1$ . One proof of this fact uses the RSK-correspondence.

The RSK-correspondence is a bijective map between permutations of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and pairs of tableaux of the type shown below. For example,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 5 & 1 & 6 & 3 & 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \longleftrightarrow \left( \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & 4 \\ \hline 6 & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & 6 & \\ \hline 5 & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right).$$

A possible project in this area would explain how the correspondence works, prove some its (quite remarkable) combinatorial properties, and give some applications, such as the finite Bolzano–Weierstrass theorem mentioned earlier. See [5, Chapter 13] for an introduction to the RSK-correspondence.

**Card shuffling.** Suppose that we shuffle a pack of  $n$  cards by choosing uniformly at random two numbers from 1 up to  $n$ . If the numbers are the same, we do nothing; otherwise we swap the cards in the indicated positions. This is not a particularly efficient shuffle, so it is perhaps surprising that after a bit more than  $\frac{n}{2} \log n$  shuffles, it is very likely that the pack will be well-mixed.

The first aim of a project in this area would be to understand the definition and properties of *total variation distance*, which gives a way to measure how well a shuffle mixes a pack. Then it should try to explain the sharp 'cut-off' phenomenon: that the amount of mixing after  $k$  shuffles is low until  $k$  is near to  $\frac{n}{2} \log n$ , at which point it rapidly increases so that the shuffle becomes indistinguishable from a random permutation.

A mixture of probabilistic and algebraic arguments will be required, and it is likely that this project would be found quite demanding. Diaconis' book [8] is an excellent source.

**Hook Formula.** The Hook Formula is a remarkable combinatorial formula for the number of standard tableaux of a given shape. For instance, there are 5 standard  $(3, 2)$ -tableaux, as shown below:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & 5 & \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 5 \\ \hline 3 & 4 & \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & 5 & \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 5 \\ \hline 2 & 4 & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

A project in this area might survey some of the different ways to prove the formula (suitable proofs include those by Greene–Nijenhuis–Wilf [12], Bandlow [2] and Novelli–Pak–Stoyanovskii [16]) and look at applications to enumerative combinatorics. For example, the formula for the Catalan Numbers  $C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$  follows from the Hook Formula for tableaux of shape  $(n, n)$ .

**Other ideas.** Here are some *aides-memoires* for other possible projects. See me for more details.

- **Do bookmakers profit from the wisdom of crowds?** The recent book [14] could be a useful source for several different projects.

- **Hall's Marriage Theorem and related results:** there is a circle of theorems all of which can be used to prove one another. König's Lemma, Max-flow-min-cut, Gale–Ryser.
- **Cop and robber games:** graph searching problems. There is a recent book by Bonato and Nowakowski [4] that would be a good introduction.
- **Weighing pennies:** given 12 pennies, one of which *might* be counterfeit have a different weight to the others, how many weighings does it take to locate the counterfeit penny and determine whether it is light or heavy, or be sure that all the coins are genuine? This problem has a close connection with coding theory and has a non-adaptive optimal solution (i.e. the weighings can be specified in advance). A project could survey work on this problem and its many generalizations, for example: multiple counterfeit coins, restrictions on how many coins can be placed on the balance, adaptive versus non-adaptive solutions.
- **Secret-sharing schemes** Suppose we want any 3 of 5 people to be able to decode a message, but any two of them working together should not be able to learn anything. This can be achieved using ideas from coding theory. One approach uses the Reed–Solomon codes in the MSc version of 361 and polynomial interpolation. This preprint <http://eprint.iacr.org/2012/534.pdf> gives a new scheme in this area and leads to a new coding theory bound.
- **How to find and decode asymptotically good binary codes.** Very roughly, this project would be about binary codes of long length that have high rate and high minimum distance. The Gilbert–Varshamov bound shows that such codes must exist, but it doesn't give any effective way to find them. Try searching for 'concatenated codes', 'Expander codes', and 'LDPC codes' to see some modern constructions and decoders.

One possible aim of a project in this area would be to understand the definition of (bipartite) expander graphs and the probabilistic proof that they exist. It should then explain how bipartite graphs can be used to define asymptotically good

codes. There are now some notes appearing on the web aimed at advanced undergraduates. See <http://www.cs.washington.edu/education/courses/cse533/06au/lecnotes/lecture13.pdf> for an introduction. It might be very interesting to do some simulations of encoding and decoding for a randomly constructed expander code. Some programming would be required.

- **Counting combinatorial objects using sign-reversing involutions.** A typical example is the ‘Matrix Tree Theorem’ which expresses the number of spanning trees in a graph as a determinant. In particular, this theorem implies that there are  $n^{n-2}$  distinct trees on the vertex set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . There is a very nice introductory paper [3]. This could also form part of a project on symmetric polynomials: the final chapter of [15] gives a very nice account of some arguments that until recently were only available in the research literature.
- **Ramsey Theory, builder–painter games.** See [7] for a recent paper with some new results and background references. (If this area sounds interesting you should certainly also talk to Dr Gerke.)

#### REFERENCES

- [1] ALBERT, M. H., NOWAKOWSKI, R. J., AND WOLFE, D. *Lessons in play: An introduction combinatorial game theory*. A K Peters, 2007.
- [2] BANDLOW, J. An elementary proof of the hook formula. *Electron. J. Combin.* 15, 1 (2008), Research paper 45, 14.
- [3] BENJAMIN, A. T., AND CAMERON, N. T. Counting on determinants. *Amer. Math. Monthly* 112, 6 (2005), 481–492.
- [4] BONATO, A., AND NOWAKOWSKI, R. J. *The Game of Cops of Robbers on Graphs*. American Mathematical Society, 2011.
- [5] CAMERON, P. J. *Combinatorics: Topics, Techniques, Algorithms*. CUP, 1994.
- [6] CHEN, B., AND ANKENMAN, J. *The Mathematics of Poker*. ConJelCo LLC, 2006.
- [7] CONLON, D., FOX, J., AND SUDAKOV, B. Essays in extremal combinatorics. *arXiv:1212.1300*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1212.1300>.
- [8] DIACONIS, P. *Group Representations in Probability and Statistics*, vol. 11 of *Lecture Notes — Monograph Series*. Institute of Mathematical Statistics, 1988.
- [9] DIACONIS, P., FULMAN, J., AND GURALNICK, R. On fixed points of permutations. *J. Algebraic Combin.* 28, 1 (2008), 189–218.

- [10] ERDŐS, P. On an elementary proof of some asymptotic formulas in the theory of partitions. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 43 (1942), 437–450.
- [11] GRAHAM, R. L., KNUTH, D. E., AND PATASHNIK, O. *Concrete Mathematics*. Addison Wesley, 1994.
- [12] GREENE, C., NIJENHUIS, A., AND WILF, H. A probabilistic proof of a formula for the number of Young tableaux of a given shape. *Adv. Math.* 31 (1979), 104–109.
- [13] HARDY, G. H., AND RAMANUJAN, S. Asymptotic formulae in combinatorial analysis. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (2)* 17 (1917), 75–113.
- [14] KÖRNER, T. W. *Naive decision making*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008. Mathematics applied to the social world.
- [15] LOEHR, N. A. *Bijjective combinatorics*. Discrete Mathematics and its Applications (Boca Raton). CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2011.
- [16] NOVELLI, J. C., PAK, I. M., AND STOYANOVSKII, A. V. A direct bijective proof of the hook-length formula. *Discrete Math. Theoret. Computer Science* 1 (1997), 53–67.
- [17] REMMEL, J. B. A note on a recursion for the number of derangements. *European J. Combin.* 4, 4 (1983), 371–374.
- [18] WILF, H. S. A bijection in the theory of derangements. *Mathematics Magazine* 57 (1984), 37–40.
- [19] ZADEH, N. Computation of optimal poker strategies. *Operations Res.* 25, 4 (1977), 541–562.